Hvordan kan genetiske test give et bedre bud på en prostatakræft screening? // How can genetic tests provide a better estimate for prostate cancer screening? Rolf Skotheim, Genome biology group Dept Molecular Oncology, Inst Cancer Research Oslo University Hospital – Radiumhospitalet Danske Kræftforskningsdage – 31.AUG.2023

### Prostate cancer

The most common male cancer in many countries

Localised prostate cancer risk stratification

- Clinical stage, Gleason score, PSA
- Challenging to distinguish aggressive and indolent cancers
- Need for improved prognostication
- Majority have multiple primary tumour foci







UICC. Globocan 2020

## Prostate cancer has relatively few somatic point







## .. and even fewer expressed point mutations



Cancer Gene Therapy 2022





# But high prevalence of the fusion TMPRSS2-ERG

- *ERG* encodes a transcription factor that is absent in normal prostate epithelium
- Overexpressed in about 50% of all prostate cancers, primarily through fusion with the highly expressed and androgen-regulated *TMPRSS2* gene
- The two genes are commonly fused after deletion of the 3 Mbp region that separates them on chromosome 21









#### Fusion genes are common in prostate cancer



#### Fusion genes are common in prostate cancer

- 5'-RACE in samples overexpressing ETS genes
  - *FLI1* as novel ETS fusion partner prostate ca.

TMPRSS

- Novel fusion partners upstream of ETS genes
- RNA-seq, short & long-reads
- Computational approaches

Green: fusion partners identified in own/collaborative work

ERG

FGFR

BRAF: RAF1

KRAS

# Prostate cancer is commonly multifocal



Diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers may be affected by the multifocal nature of prostate cancer

- Multisampling biobank enabling hetereogeneity aware analyses - 3 to 7 frozen tissue samples from each of 571 patients
- Histopathological & clinical data

T2=

ГЗ:

Follow-up: Blood samples and ~ 12 000 PSA measurements
Genome-scale sequencing of DNA and RNA
Point mutations, fusions, gene expression, *etc.*

DNA copy numbers along chromosome 21 in three tumour samples from the same prostate







# Point mutations and DNA copy number changes















# Different molecular subtypes of prostate cancer?









# Different molecular subtypes of prostate cancer?



Cancer samples from Norwegian patients Carm et al., Sci Rep 2019

Department of Molecular Oncology, Institute for Cancer Research, OUH-Radiumhospitalet

OSLO UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL



# Molecular classification – per focus



Carm et al., Sci Rep 2019







# Heterogeneity in prostate cancer

- Tumour foci in primary cancers are *hetero*geneous
- Metastatic foci are to a large degree *homo*geneous

Molecular biomarkers from a random tissue sample can be irrelevant for the most significant cancer focus



Løvf *et al.,* Eur Urol 2019 Carm *et al.,* Sci Rep 2019 Liu *et al.,* Nat Med 2009 Kumar *et al.,* Nat Med 2016







#### Precission!

NEOPLASIA www.neoplasia.com

High expression of SCHLAP1 primary prostate cancer is an independent predictor of biochemical heterogeneity \*, \*\* substantial

#### Abstract

In primary prostate cancer, the common multifocality and heterogeneity are major obstacles in finding robust prognostic tissue biomarkers. The long noncoding RNA SCHLAP1 has been suggested, but its prognostic value has not been investigated in the context In primary prostate cancer, the common multifacality and heterogeneity are major obstacles in finding robust prognostic table biomarkers. The long noncoding RNA SCHLAP1 has been suggested, but its prognostic value has not been investigated in the present study, expression of SCHLAP1 was investigated using real-time RT:-DCR in a multisampled biomarkers, The long noncoding RNA SCHLAP1 has been suggested, but its prognostic value has not been investigated in the context of tumor heterogeneity. In the present study, expression of SCHLAP1 was investigated using real-time RT-PC-R in a multisample series of 778 tissue samples from radical prostatectomies of 164 prostate cancer patients (median follow-up time 7.4 y). The prognostic of tumor heterogeneity. In the present study, expression of SCHLAP1 was investigated using real-time RT-PCR in a multisampled series of 778 tissue samples from radical prostatecromics of 164 prostate cancer patients (median follow-up time 7.4 y). The prognostic value of *SCHLAP1* was evaluated with biochemical recurrence as endpoint. In total, 29% of patients were classified as having high expression of *SCHLAP1* in at least one malignant sample. Among these, inter-and intrafocal heterogeneity was detected in 72% and 56%, respectively. *High* expression of *SCHLAP1* was shown to be a predictor In total, 29% of patients were classified as having high expression of SCHLAP1 in at least one malignant sample. Among these, inter-and intrafocal heterogeneity was detected in 72% and 56%, respectively. High expression of SCHLAP1 was shown to be a predictor of biochemical recurrence in hout this and the state of the second seco and intrafocal heterogeneity was detected in 72% and 56%, respectively. High expression of SCHLAP1 was shown to be a post of histochemical recurrence in both unit and multivariable cox regression analyses (P < 0.001 and p = 0.02). High expression analyses (P < 0.001 and p = 0.02). High expression analyses (P < 0.001 and p = 0.02). SCHAPT was also significantly associated with adverse clinicopathological characteristics, includ-invasive cribriform growth/intraductal carcinoma of the prostate, and reactive stroma. In conclusion invasive cribriform growth/intraductal carcinoma of the prostate, and reactive stroma, in at least one malignant sample is a robust prognostic biomarker in primary provate one of the prostate of the prostat

Volume 23 Number xxx Month 2021 pp. 634-641

Susanna G. Kidd 🤹 🖅 Kristina T. Carm 🖘 🗸

Mari Bogaard (1); Linn Guro Olsen (1)

Anne Cathrine Bakken -: Marthe Loví -:

Ragnhild A. Lothe 2: Karol Axcrona Ulrika Axerona \*; Rolf I. Skotheim\*\*\*

634

Can also the management of patients with prostate cancer benefit from genomics-based precision medicine?

#### LncRNA SChLAP1 as a tissue prognostic biomarker

- Long noncoding RNA associated with poor prognosis, particularly when heterogeneity is taken into account
- Demonstrates the potential in the biobank and our strategy for biomarker development
  BCR-free proportion
  Kidd, Carm et al.,





*In situ* expression of ERG protein in the context of tumor heterogeneity identifies prostate cancer patients with inferior prognosis



**OSLO UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL** 

Kidd, Bogaard et al., Mol Oncol 2022



Department of Molecular Oncology, Institute for Cancer Research, OUH-Radiumhospitalet

# Can we identify new biomarkers, developed with heterogeneity in mind?



**OSLO UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL** 

.. when the expression profiles from different tissue samples from the same patient are so fundamentally different?



#### Identification and characterisation of aggressive tumour foci

- Which tumour focus gives rise to metastasis? ٠
- What characterizes these aggressive tumour foci • (mutations, methylation, expression, ..)?





Overlapping somatic mutations

Carm et al., Int J Cancer 2023





# Patient specific gene expression









### Patient specific gene expression





#### 16 of which are

- significantly expressed
- associated with BCR

Strømme, Johannessen *et al.*, Cancer Gene Therapy 2022

# Heterogeneity quadrants for selected gene panels





- Primary prostate cancer is commonly multifocal
- Different foci have different mutations and fusion genes
- Genomics-based precision medicine must incorporate this heterogeneity
- Either by testing all cancer foci, or through methods capturing molecular characteristics from all cancer foci, like
  - Mutations in cfDNA from plasma
  - Heterogeneity-agnostic RNA expression/DNA methylation patterns in tumour and/or benign parts of the prostate



#### Participants / Acknowledgements



Institute for cancer research

Prostate cancer genomics, Section for molecular oncology

Katherine Nathanson



Dept. Mol. Oncology **Ragnhild A. Lothe** Anita Sveen Guro E. Lind Aase M. V. Maltau Amund Isaksen Ane Stranger Anne Cathrine Bakken Arina Surko Bjarne Johannessen Jonas Meier Strømme Kristina T. Carm Mari Bogaard Mie Jareid Susanne G. Kidd Xiaokang Zhang

**Collaborators** Karol Axcrona Ulrika Axcrona Anne Marie Holck Storås Kietil Berner **Kjell Magne Russnes** Sigmund Brabrand Leonardo Meza-Zepeda Heidi Lyng Eli M. Grindedal Tom Børge Johannesen Åsmund Nybøen Ole Christian Lingjærde Torbjørn Rognes Daniel Heinrich Ola Myklebost Kathrine A. Lie Geir Bjørkøy

**Radiumhospitalet** • • OSLO UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL UiO **University of Oslo** HELSE ••• SØR-ØST **The Research Council** of Norway NORWEGIAN **CANCER** SOCIETY Manuel R. Teixeira Olli Kallioniemi **Patients and families** Matthew Meyerson Represented by William B. Isaacs Daniel Ask Cristopher E. Barbieri Trond Fevolden

rolf.i.skotheim@rr-research.no

**Roger Larsen**